

Poisson's Equation

Computer Lab 2

Poisson's Equation

Today, we shall consider Poisson's equation: Find u such that

$$-a\Delta u = f, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (1)$$

$$n \cdot a\nabla u = \gamma(g - u), \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad (2)$$

where f and g are given functions, a and γ are positive parameters, and Ω is a polygonal domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$ and outward pointing unit normal n . The boundary condition (2) is a so called Robin boundary condition, which may describe both Dirichlet or homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions depending on the choice of γ .

Finite Element Approximation

The weak form of (1) reads: Find $u \in H^1$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} a\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma uv \, ds = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma g v \, ds, \quad (3)$$

for all $v \in H^1$, where H^1 is the Hilbert space $H^1 = \{v : \|v\| + \|\nabla v\| < \infty\}$.

Let $V_h \subset H^1$ be the space of all continuous piecewise linear functions on a partition $\mathcal{K} = \{K\}$ of Ω into triangles of size h_K .

The finite element approximation of (3) takes the form: Find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} a \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u_h v \, ds = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma g v \, ds, \quad (4)$$

for all $v \in V_h$.

Problem 1. Derive the weak form (3).

How to obtain the finite element solution. Recall that the set of hat functions $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$, where N is the number of nodes within the triangulation \mathcal{K} , is a basis for V_h .

The finite element approximation (4) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\Omega} a \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla \varphi_i \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma u_h \varphi_i \, ds = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_i \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma g \varphi_i \, ds, \quad (5)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. This is due to the fact that if (5) holds for each basis function φ_i independently, then it also holds for any linear combination of them, and thus for any $v \in V_h$.

Since $u_h \in V_h$ it can be written as the sum

$$u_h = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \varphi_j, \quad (6)$$

for some coefficients c_j to be determined.

Inserting (6) into (5) we end up with a $(N \times N)$ system of linear equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^N c_j \left(\int_{\Omega} a \nabla \varphi_j \cdot \nabla \varphi_i \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma \varphi_j \varphi_i \, ds \right) \quad (7)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_i \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma g \varphi_i \, ds, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

In matrix form we write this as

$$(A + R)c = b + r \quad (9)$$

where the entries of the left and right hand side matrices and vectors are given by

$$A_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} a \nabla \varphi_i \cdot \nabla \varphi_j dx, \quad (10)$$

$$R_{ij} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma \varphi_i \varphi_j ds, \quad (11)$$

$$c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N)^T, \quad (12)$$

$$b_j = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_j dx, \quad (13)$$

$$r_j = \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma g \varphi_j ds. \quad (14)$$

All index runs over $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Computer Implementation

Consider a single triangle K with nodes at its three corners $N_1 = (x_1, y_1)$, $N_2 = (x_2, y_2)$, and $N_3 = (x_3, y_3)$. To each node N_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, there is a hat function φ_i associated, which takes on the value one at node N_i and zero at the other nodes. Each hat function is a linear function (i.e., a plane) on K . Hence

$$\varphi_i = a_i + b_i x + c_i y, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (15)$$

where the coefficients a_i , b_i , and c_i , are determined from the requirement

$$\varphi_i(N_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Thus, for example, a_1 , b_1 and c_1 are given by the equations $\varphi_1(x_1, y_1) = 1$, $\varphi_1(x_2, y_2) = 0$, and $\varphi_1(x_3, y_3) = 0$. In matrix form this (3×3) system of equations takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & y_1 \\ 1 & x_2 & y_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & y_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Note that the gradient of φ_i is just the constant vector $\nabla\varphi_i = (b_i, c_i)$.

As usual the global stiffness matrix A is formed by summing local stiffness matrices A^K , which are found by performing the integration (10) successively over each triangle K .

Thus, since a is constant, we get the (3×3) local stiffness matrix

$$A_{ij}^K = a \int_K \nabla\varphi_i \cdot \nabla\varphi_j dx = a(b_i b_j + c_i c_j) \text{area}(K), \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (18)$$

The $3 \cdot 3 = 9$ entries of A^K are to be assembled (at the right locations) into A . In matlab this can be done very elegantly using vectorized operations as shown below.

```
for K = 1:size(t,2); % loop over the triangles
    nodes = t(1:3,K); % find triangle K's nodes
    AK = ... % compute the (3 x 3) stiffness matrix AK
    A(nodes,nodes) = A(nodes,nodes)+AK; % add AK(i,j), i,j=1,2,3,
                                         % to A(nodes(i),nodes(j))
end
```

Problem 2. Compute A^K on the reference triangle with corners at origo, $(1,0)$, and $(0,1)$. Verify that one of the eigenvalues of A^K is zero. Can you explain why?

Similar to the stiffness matrix A , the load vector b is formed by summing local load vectors b^K , which are found by restricting the integration (13) to one triangle K at a time. Using one point quadrature we get the approximation

$$b_i^K = \int_K f \varphi_i \approx f(x_c, y_c) \text{area}(K)/3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (19)$$

where (x_c, y_c) is the centroid of K .

Finally, if two nodes of triangle K lies along the domain boundary $\partial\Omega$, then the edge between them will contribute to the line integrals (11) and (14) associated with the boundary conditions. The boundary mass matrix R is assembled by looping over all the triangle edges lying along the domain

boundary $\partial\Omega$. These are the columns of the mesh data matrix \mathbf{e} , c.f. the matlab command `initmesh`.

If the edge E lies between the boundary nodes N_1 and N_2 , then we have the local boundary mass matrix

$$R_{ij}^E = \int_E \gamma \varphi_i \varphi_j ds = \frac{\gamma}{6} (1 + \delta_{ij}) \text{length}(E), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad (20)$$

and the local boundary vector

$$r_i^E = \int_E \gamma g \varphi_i ds \approx \frac{\gamma}{2} g(N_i) \text{length}(E), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (21)$$

Note that these are the same as the one-dimensional local mass matrix and load vector.

assemble.m The following code is a template routine for performing the assembly of the system of equations (9). Besides completing the code the user must supply the routines, `f`, `gamma`, and `g`, defining the source term f , the boundary penalty parameter γ , and the boundary data g . For example if $f = \sin x \sin y$, then `f` would look like

```
function z=f(x,y)
z=sin(x).*sin(y)
```

```
function [A,R,b,r] = assemble(p,e,t)
N = size(p,2);
A = sparse(N,N);
R = sparse(N,N);
b = zeros(N,1);
r = zeros(N,1);
% assemble stiffness matrix A, and load vector b.
for K = 1:size(t,2);
    nodes = t(1:3,K);
    x = p(1,nodes);
    y = p(2,nodes);
```

```

    area_K = polyarea(x,y);
    AK = ???
    bK = ???
    A(nodes,nodes) = A(nodes,nodes) + AK;
    b(nodes) = b(nodes) + bK;
end
% assemble boundary mass matrix R, and the vector r.
for E = 1:size(e,2)
    nodes = e(1:2,E);
    x = p(1,nodes);
    y = p(2,nodes);
    length_E = sqrt((x(1)-x(2))^2+(y(1)-y(2))^2);
    R(nodes,nodes) = R(nodes,nodes) + gamma*length_E*[2 1;1 2]/6;
    r(nodes) = r(nodes) + gamma*length_E*g(x,y)'/2;
end

```

Problem 3.

- a) Implement the finite element solver `MyPoissonSolver` described above. Test your code by solving a problem with known solution (e.g., $-\Delta u = 2\pi^2 \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y)$ in the unit square and with $u = 0$ on the boundary. The exact solution is $u = \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y)$).
- b) Compute the energy norm $\|\nabla u_h\|^2 = c^T A c$ for the problem in a), and compare with theory. Make a convergence plot of the energy norm error (i.e., $\|\nabla u\|^2 - \|\nabla u_h\|^2$) versus the mesh size h . Plot the result using a log-log diagram. Use `polyfit` to compute the slope of the graph. It should be almost 2. Why?

Problem 4. Solve $-\Delta u = 1$ on the unit square $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ with the boundary conditions $u = \cos(2\pi y)$ on the line $x = 0$, and $n \cdot \nabla u = 0$ on the rest of the boundary.